

There exists no self-dual $[24, 12, 10]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_5$

Masaaki Harada* and Akihiro Munemasa†

March 6, 2009

Abstract

Self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$ exist for all even lengths. The smallest length for which the largest minimum weight among self-dual codes has not been determined is 24, and the largest minimum weight is either 9 or 10. In this note, we show that there exists no self-dual $[24, 12, 10]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_5$, using the classification of 24-dimensional odd unimodular lattices due to Borchers.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_5$ denote the finite field of order 5. An $[n, k]$ code C over $\mathbb{F}_5$ is a k -dimensional vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_5^n$, where n is called the length of C . All codes in this note are codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$. An $[n, k, d]$ code is an $[n, k]$ code with minimum weight d . A code C is said to be *self-dual* if $C = C^\perp$, where $C^\perp$ denotes the dual code of C under the standard inner product. A self-dual code of length n exists if and only if n is even.

As described in [7], self-dual codes are an important class of linear codes for both theoretical and practical reasons. It is a fundamental problem to classify self-dual codes of modest length and determine the largest minimum weight among self-dual codes of that length. Self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$ were classified in [6] for lengths up to 12. The classification was extended to

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan

†Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

lengths 14 and 16 in [4]. The largest minimum weights among self-dual codes of lengths 18, 20 and 22 were determined in [4], [6] and [3], respectively. For length 24, the largest minimum weight is either 9 or 10 [6]. In this note, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *There exists no self-dual $[24, 12, 10]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_5$.*

Hence the largest minimum weight among self-dual codes of length 24 is exactly 9. The assertion of Theorem 1 was a question in [6, p. 192].

2 Unimodular lattices and Construction A

An n -dimensional (Euclidean) lattice L is *unimodular* if $L = L^*$, where the dual lattice L^* is defined as $L^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in L\}$ under the standard inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$. The *norm* of a vector x is $\langle x, x \rangle$. The *minimum norm* of L is the smallest norm among all nonzero vectors of L . A unimodular lattice L is *even* if all vectors of L have even norms, and *odd* if some vector has an odd norm. The *kissing number* of L is the number of vectors of minimum norm.

If C is a self-dual code of length n , then

$$A_5(C) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid (x \bmod 5) \in C\}$$

is an odd unimodular lattice, where $(x \bmod 5)$ denotes $(x_1 \bmod 5, \dots, x_n \bmod 5)$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. This construction of lattices from codes is called Construction A. If C is a self-dual $[24, 12, 10]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_5$, then $A_5(C)$ is a 24-dimensional odd unimodular lattice with minimum norm ≥ 2 . The odd Leech lattice is a unique 24-dimensional odd unimodular lattice with minimum norm 3. There are 155 non-isomorphic 24-dimensional odd unimodular lattices with minimum norm 2 [1] (see also [2, Table 2.2]).

3 Proof

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Let C be a self-dual $[24, 12, 10]$ code over $\mathbb{F}_5$. As described in [3], the Lee weight enumerator (see [6, p. 180] for the definition) of C is uniquely

determined. Since the coefficient of $x^{14}y^{10}$ in the Lee weight enumerator is 528, $A_5(C)$ has minimum norm 2 and kissing number 528. The only 24-dimensional odd unimodular lattice with minimum norm 2 and kissing number 528 is the 154-th lattice in [2, Table 17.1], which is the direct sum of two copies of the lattice D_{12}^+ . Thus $A_5(C) = L_1 \oplus L_2$, where for $i = 1, 2$, L_i is isomorphic to D_{12}^+ when restricted to the 12-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{R}L_i$ of $\mathbb{R}^{24}$. In particular, both L_1 and L_2 have minimum norm 2.

Let e_i denote the unit vector $(\delta_{i,1}, \delta_{i,2}, \dots, \delta_{i,24})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 24$), where $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker's delta symbol. We claim $\sqrt{5}e_i \in L_1$ or $\sqrt{5}e_i \in L_2$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, 24\}$. Indeed, it suffices to prove the claim for $i = 1$. We may write $\sqrt{5}e_1 = a + b$, where $a \in L_1$ and $b \in L_2$. Since the minimum norms of L_1, L_2 are both 2, $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$ would imply $\{\langle a, a \rangle, \langle b, b \rangle\} = \{2, 3\}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\langle a, a \rangle = 2$, and write $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(c_1, \dots, c_{24})$. Then $c_1 = \langle a, \sqrt{5}e_1 \rangle = \langle a, a + b \rangle = 2$ since $L_1 = A_5(C) \cap L_2^\perp$, and hence $10 = 5\langle a, a \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{24} c_i^2 = 4 + \sum_{i=2}^{24} c_i^2$. This implies that the codeword $(c \bmod 5) \in C$ has weight less than 10. This contradiction shows that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, proving the claim.

Since the vectors $\sqrt{5}e_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 24$) are linearly independent and $\dim L_1 = \dim L_2 = 12$, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{5}e_i &\in L_1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 12, \text{ and} \\ \sqrt{5}e_i &\in L_2 \quad \text{for } i = 13, 14, \dots, 24. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= A_5(C) \cap L_2^\perp = A_5(C) \cap \bigoplus_{i=1}^{12} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \mathbb{Z}e_i, \\ L_2 &= A_5(C) \cap L_1^\perp = A_5(C) \cap \bigoplus_{i=13}^{24} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \mathbb{Z}e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Define codes C_1, C_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \{((c_1, \dots, c_{12}) \bmod 5) \mid \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(c_1, \dots, c_{12}, 0, \dots, 0) \in L_1\}, \\ C_2 &= \{((c_{13}, \dots, c_{24}) \bmod 5) \mid \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(0, \dots, 0, c_{13}, \dots, c_{24}) \in L_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{24}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (c \bmod 5) \in C \\
& \iff \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}c \in L_1 \oplus L_2 \\
& \iff c = a_1 + a_2 \text{ for some } a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{24} \text{ with } \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}a_1 \in L_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}a_2 \in L_2 \\
& \iff \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(c_1, \dots, c_{12}, 0, \dots, 0) \in L_1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(0, \dots, 0, c_{13}, \dots, c_{24}) \in L_2 \\
& \iff ((c_1, \dots, c_{12}) \bmod 5) \in C_1 \text{ and } ((c_{13}, \dots, c_{24}) \bmod 5) \in C_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence C is decomposable into the direct sum of the two codes C_1, C_2 , each of which is of length 12. Since $(C_1 \oplus C_2)^\perp = C_1^\perp \oplus C_2^\perp$ (see [5, Exercise 30]) and C is self-dual, both C_1 and C_2 are self-dual. However, no self-dual code of length 12 has minimum weight ≥ 10 . This is a contradiction, and the proof is complete. $\square$

References

- [1] R.E. Borcherds, The Leech lattice and other lattices, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Cambridge, 1984.
- [2] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, *Sphere Packing, Lattices and Groups* (3rd ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [3] S. Han and J.-L. Kim, On self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **48** (2008), 43–58.
- [4] M. Harada and P.R.J. Östergård, On the classification of self-dual codes over $\mathbb{F}_5$, *Graphs Combin.* **19** (2003), 203–214.
- [5] W.C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [6] J.S. Leon, V. Pless and N.J.A. Sloane, Self-dual codes over $\text{GF}(5)$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982) 178–194.
- [7] E. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, “Self-dual codes,” Handbook of Coding Theory, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman (Editors), Elsevier, Amsterdam 1998, pp. 177–294.